

DIRECT ELUCIDATION OF THE REASON FOR ALMOST THE SAME BOND LENGTHS FOR THE C–H AND C–D BONDS IN C₆H₆ AND C₆D₆: A PATH INTEGRAL MOLECULAR DYNAMICS STUDY

TARO UDAGAWA, HIKARU TANAKA, *Department of Chemistry and Biomolecular Science, Gifu University, Gifu, Japan*; KAZUAKI KUWAHATA, *Graduate school of Nanobioscience, Yokohama City University, Yokohama, Japan*; MASAOKI BABA, *Molecular Photoscience Research Center, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan*; TSUNEO HIRANO, *Department of Chemistry, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan*; UMPEI NAGASHIMA, MASANORI TACHIKAWA, *Graduate school of Nanobioscience, Yokohama City University, Yokohama, Japan*.

Recently, Baba group found that ro-vibrationally averaged bond lengths of C–H and C–D are observed as being almost identical ($r_{0,\text{eff}}(\text{C–H}) \cong r_{0,\text{eff}}(\text{C–D})$) for planar aromatic hydrocarbons from high-resolution laser spectroscopy.^a Quite recently, the reason of the same $r_{0,\text{eff}}(\text{C–H})$ and $r_{0,\text{eff}}(\text{C–D})$ bond lengths has been brilliantly unveiled by Hirano et al. by high-level *ab initio* molecular orbital calculations.^b They revealed that the experimental bond lengths derived from effective rotational constants are "not" the ro-vibrationally averaged bond lengths but their projected lengths on the principle axis.

In this study, we have carried out the path integral molecular dynamics (PIMD) simulations for C₆H₆ and C₆D₆ to directly estimate the distribution of the C–H and C–D bond lengths projected onto the principle axis. Our PIMD simulation strongly supports the previous explanation by Hirano et al.^a for the experimentally observed fact ($r_{0,\text{eff}}(\text{C–H}) \cong r_{0,\text{eff}}(\text{C–D})$) in C₆H₆ and C₆D₆.

^aS. Kunishige, T. Katori, M. Baba, et al., *J. Chem. Phys.* **143**, 244302 (2015).

^bT. Hirano, U. Nagashima, M. Baba, *J. Mol. Struct.* **1243**, 130537 (2021).